

The Culture of Protecting Aviation Personnel and Air Transport Users in the AX Era: A Normative Reinterpretation

Seo, Inwon

Assistant Professor, Korea Aerospace University



1. Introduction – A normative culture

Event	Civil Liability Limits(SDR)		
	'99	'19	'24
Death of Bodily Injury of a Passenger (MC Article 21)	100,000	128,821	151,880 (US\$202,500)
	Second tier(presumptive liability)		
Passenger Delay (MC Article 22(1))	4,150	5,346	6,303 (US\$8,400)
Baggage – destruction, loss, damage, delay (MC Article 22(2))	1,000	1,288	1,519 (US\$2,000)
Cargo – destruction, loss, damage, delay (MC Article 22(3))	17	22	26 (US\$35)

Civil Liability Limits under the Montreal Convention

- The Montreal Convention ('MC') is a public international law, adopted in 1999, and it governs the civil liability of airlines in the case of accidents, delays, and other incidents involving international carriage.
- Civil liability limits have been adjusted every five years to account for inflation, as stipulated by MC Art. 24.



2. Applicable law governing damages caused by defective AI



Brand new AI regulation?

UK approach	Type of regulation	EU approach
Non-statutory (to be implemented by existing regulators)		Legislative (AI Act)
Principle-based (5 principles)	Regulatory approach	Prescriptive (Detailed rules)
Vertical (Sector/risk-specific guidance applying general principles)	Regulatory focus	Horizontal (Same rules all sectors. Focus on prohibited and high-risk AI)
No new regulators (Existing regulators to apply principles)	Regulatory bodies	New regulatory bodies (National competent authorities + European AI Board)
No new penalties (Apply penalties under existing laws)	Penalties	New penalties (Fines up to EUR30M or 6% turnover)
Main risk Regulator divergence / gaps between regulators?	Potential shortcomings?	Main risk Could prescriptive approach dampen innovation?

Product Liability Act !

- In the AX era, applicable law governing damages caused by defective AI is product liability legislation, not new AI regulation.
- Under a flexible interpretation, the Product Liability Act can govern damages caused by defective AI in the case where aviation AI software is installed in aircraft.



3. Shifting of an air carrier's civil liability

Event	Strict Liability	Fault-based Liability
Death of Bodily Injury of a Passenger (MC Article 21)	Tier 1	Tier 2
Passenger Delay (MC Article 22(1))	X	O
Baggage – destruction, loss, damage, delay (MC Article 22(2))	Checked baggage - destruction, loss, damage	① Checked baggage - delay ② Carry-on baggage - destruction, loss, damage, delay
Cargo – destruction, loss, damage, delay (MC Article 22(3))	destruction, loss, damage	delay

- The operation of AI serves as a substitute for human conduct, and the shift of civil liability for air carriers is confined to the scope of damage caused by human intent and negligence.
- Fault-based liabilities under the Montreal Convention transition to strict liability under the Product Liability Act in one jurisdiction.



4. Degrees of AI automation and its impact on civil liability

Level 1 AI: assistance to human	1A	Human augment
	1B	Human cognitive assistance in decision making and action selection
Level 2 AI: human-AI teaming	2A	Human and AI-based system cooperation
	2B	Human and AI-based system collaboration
Level 3 AI: advanced automation	3A	The AI-based system performs decisions and actions that are overridable by the human
	3B	The AI-based system performs non-overridable decisions and actions

- The shift of civil liability commences when aviation AI is granted the authority, and the simple utilization of aviation AI is improbable to trigger this transition.
- The transition of an air carrier's civil liability progresses in accordance with the levels of automation of aviation AI. (Level 2B < Level 3A < Level 3B)



5. Policy implications - 3 Uncertainties

- The application of product liability act is uncertain in circumstances when the manufacturers of aircraft and aviation AI vary, particularly when the aviation AI operates in a remote mode.
- Demonstrating the defects of aviation AI and establishing a causal relationship between AI defects and damages caused is impractical for air passengers, and particularly in civil law jurisdictions that require a high likelihood in civil cases.
- The EU AI roadmap provides a crucial classification of aviation AI based on levels of automation, and further discourse around the ratio of AI authority is essential to address real-world civil cases.



2025 Korea Aviation Safety Forum

2025 대한민국항공안전포럼

Thank you!

